Academic Honesty at Eastman—Guidelines for Students

As members of an academic community, Eastman students and faculty have the responsibility to engage in honest communication. Academic dishonesty is a serious violation of the trust upon which an artistic and scholarly community depends. Examples of academic dishonesty follow.

• **Plagiarism**, or using someone else’s work without giving credit, is a form of theft. Examples of plagiarism include using ideas, phrases, papers, theory exercises, computer programs, data—copied directly or paraphrased—that you did not arrive at on your own and without acknowledging their source. Sources include published works such as books, articles, movies, websites, and unpublished works such as other students’ homework and papers or material from a research service. *The risk of plagiarism can be avoided in written work by clearly indicating, either in footnotes or in the paper itself, the source of any major or unique idea or wording that you did not arrive at on your own. Sources must be given regardless of whether the material is quoted directly or paraphrased.*

• **Unauthorized collaboration** is working with or receiving help from others on graded assignments without the specific approval of the instructor. *If in doubt, seek permission from the instructor before working with others.*

• **Multiple submission** means using the same work to fulfill the academic requirements in more than one course. *Prior permission of the instructors is essential.*

• **Obtaining an examination prior to its administration**.

• **Using unauthorized aid during an examination**.

• **Knowingly assisting someone else during an examination**.

• **Maliciously hindering the learning opportunities of fellow students** (e.g., disruptive behavior in the classroom, misuse of library materials, monopolizing class reserve materials).

A student remains responsible for the academic honesty of work submitted in all Eastman courses, even after the student has received a final course grade. This rule also applies to students who are no longer matriculated here, including those who have graduated. Ignorance of these standards will not be considered a valid excuse or defense. If a student is ever in doubt about an issue of academic honesty, or has any hesitation about a contemplated course of action, the student should consult with his or her instructors or with an adviser in the Writing and Study Skills Center. The penalties for academic dishonesty can be very painful and can affect a student’s entire educational career.

*A complete statement of the Eastman faculty’s policy can be found at* [www.rochester.edu/Eastman/registrar/policy/integrity.php](http://www.rochester.edu/Eastman/registrar/policy/integrity.php)

*This document was adapted from University of Rochester policy; details may be found at* [www.rochester.edu/College/CCAS/AdviserHandbook/AcadHonesty.html](http://www.rochester.edu/College/CCAS/AdviserHandbook/AcadHonesty.html)

This is to acknowledge that I understand the Eastman School of Music’s Academic Honesty policy and agree to abide by it.

Print Name __________________________ Signature________________________________

Student ID Number ___________________ Date ___________________________________
The word “plagiarism” comes from the Latin *plagiarius* (“kidnapper”). One writer defines it as “the wrongful act of taking the product of another person’s mind, and presenting it as one’s own” (Alexander Lindey, *Plagiarism and Originality* [New York: Harper, 1952], p. 2). Plagiarism in written papers is analogous to creating an audition tape by copying in the hard parts from a recording by a professional artist or fellow student. Reproducing the words and ideas of someone else without acknowledging their source is theft. The livelihood of performers, composers, and scholars alike depends upon the principle that intellectual property is no less valuable than material property. U.S. copyright law, which applies to both published and unpublished, printed and electronic, oral and written sources, protects ownership of such property, and penalties for infractions are severe. In an academic setting like ours, *failure to document your sources properly may result in a failing grade on the project, failure in the course, academic probation, suspension, and even expulsion from the school.*

In some cases, when students are new to research methods and procedures of citation, plagiarism can be unintentional. In other cases, inadvertent plagiarism may be the result of sloppy note-taking, in which a student has not kept careful track of words and ideas that are copied out from a book, article, liner notes, or online web site. Students should, in any case, understand the full range of ways in which the ideas of others can be represented and cited, especially since all plagiarism—intentional or not—will be punished. The cases below illustrate a few examples of what must be done to avoid plagiarism.

Precise details of citation format will not be addressed here, as individual instructors may prefer different styles of citation in written work. These should be made clear in instructions to their students.


*SOURCE:*

Superficially, Liszt and Berlioz had much in common: they both exploited a satanic public image, and enjoyed a Gothic taste for the macabre with all its paraphernalia—witches’ Sabbath, march to the scaffold, dance of death. They were both virtuoso conductors, and did perhaps more than anyone else of their time to create the modern image of the orchestral director as an international star. The music they wrote, however, was worlds apart, and the controversy each excited was of a very different nature.

1) *“Cut and Paste Plagiarism”*

Cutting and pasting the above passage, or any part of it, without using quotation marks and a footnote citing your source, constitutes the most blatant form of plagiarism. In addition, simply listing Rosen’s work in a bibliography at the end of your paper while failing to indicate that you borrowed this particular passage would make you equally guilty of “Cut and Paste Plagiarism.”

[Solution: use quotation marks and a footnote or endnote citing your source.]

---

2) Illegal paraphrases

Version A

Liszt and Berlioz had a great deal in common: they both capitalized on a satanic public image, and the macabre. They were both virtuoso conductors, and contributed much to the modern image of the orchestral director. Yet, the music they wrote was very different.

[This is just as illegal as the cut-and-paste variety. Although the assertion that Berlioz and Liszt had much in common is widely known, the particular phrases stolen from Rosen, without acknowledgement, make this a clear case of plagiarism.]

Version B

Liszt and Berlioz both exploited a satanic public image and enjoyed a Gothic taste for the macabre. They were both virtuoso conductors, and did perhaps more than anyone else of their time to create the modern image of the orchestral director as an international star. Nevertheless, as Charles Rosen points out, “the music they wrote was very different.”

[This still constitutes plagiarism, even though the author cites Rosen correctly in the final sentence. The proper citation only masks the more extensive debt to Rosen throughout this passage.]

3) Legal adaptations

Version A

According to musicologist and pianist Charles Rosen, although Liszt and Berlioz shared much in common—including a “satanic public image” and virtuosity as conductors—“the music they wrote. . .was worlds apart, and the controversy each excited was of a very different nature.”

[No plagiarism. The author clearly acknowledges the full extent of the debt to Rosen, both in the way of paraphrase (by way of the tag phrase, “According to musicologist and pianist Charles Rosen”) and direct quotation (through quotation marks). The one omission from the second direct quotation (of the word “however”) is clearly indicated by an ellipsis (. . . ).]

Version B

Liszt and Berlioz were both arch-Romanticists, popular public figures, and well known conductors. As composers, however, the two came from very different aesthetic positions.

[This passage does not require a citation because these statements are all found in the most general sources (Grout, Groves, etc), and no phrases are borrowed from our source.]

SOME ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- **Internet sources:** In citing online sources, it is not always possible to attribute information to a specific author. One should, in any case, indicate the web site consulted. Direct quotation of passages from electronic media, as from any other source, requires quotation marks and appropriate citation.

- **Commonly known factual information:** Commonly known facts, such as the birth and death dates of composers and general biographical information found in encyclopedias, do not need to be acknowledged in footnotes. Nevertheless, direct quotation of passages from such sources, as from any other, does require quotation marks and appropriate citation.

- **Private conversation or correspondence:** If specific information has been gained from private conversation or correspondence, whether written or electronic, from an unpublished paper, a conference lecture, or class presentation, it is appropriate to acknowledge the source of such information in a footnote or endnote. Any part of a work that results from collaboration with another student or individual must be clearly acknowledged.

---